

MINUTES

**CITY OF STOCKTON
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

DECEMBER 18, 2025

525 N. CENTER ST. STOCKTON, CA

CHAIRMAN

Phillip Herrera

VICE CHAIRMAN

Fernando Duarte

CDC MEMBERS

Philip Herrera ▪ Fernando Duarte ▪ Chris Cannon ▪ Matthew Wood ▪ Santy Mayfield ▪ Silvia Perez

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Phillip Herrera
Santy Mayfield
Chris Cannon (arrived 1:20pm)
Matthew Wood
Silvia Perez

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Fernando Duarte

STAFF PRESENT:

Tina McCarty, EDD Director
Lana Lozano, EDD Homeless Strategic Initiatives Manager
Jordan Peterson, EDD Deputy Director of Redevelopment
Carmen Lambert, EDD Grants Analyst
Andrew Rossete, EDD Grants Analyst
Justin Alexander, EDD Program Manager I
Christina Pena, EDD Office Specialist (Recorder)

1. CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

Meeting called to order at 1:00pm by Chairman Herrera.
Quorum met.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

2.01 REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Chairman Herrera recommended due to the minutes missing document attachments and comments, we will postpone the approval of the minutes to a future meeting once minutes have been amended. Motion made by Chairman Herrera. Seconded by Santy Mayfield. Motion passed 4-0.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

- Chairman Herrera opened public comment
- Mary Elizabeth – Stockton Resident

- Expressed she did send in written comments regarding agenda items and non-agenda items and wanted to go through them with the Committee. First, she expressed her concern with the minutes from 09.18.2025. She stated the minutes do not include reference to the written communication submitted in advance of the meeting for the CDC members' consideration. Since written communication was provided to the committee, it needs to be referenced in the minutes. She is requesting we amend the minutes prior to committee's approval to include the written communication.
 - Second, referring to the City's Housing Element and General Plan, she previously requested the outcome statistics for the last round of inspections including the number of housing units and violations encountered, as well as citations issued. She has not received a response from the City regarding this request.
 - Third, per the last CDC Meeting, Committee Members Wood and Cannon requested a presentation on the City's loan program requirements be on the next meeting's agenda. This was not included on the 12.18.2025 agenda. Also, information about repayment of these loans was requested, but not recorded in the minutes. She is requesting the City provide a summary of all housing loans and balances be brought in front of the Committee for truth and transparency.
 - **Non-Agenda Item Comments:** Mary Elizabeth references the 2018 General Plan, specifically **Goal CH-2, CH-4, and CH-1**.
 - She stated when attending a Climate Action Committee meeting, there were some modifications mentioned about the general plan around making special considerations around areas that are severely disadvantaged; areas that have not had investments like some other areas around the community have had. This includes the overconcentration of Mini Marts, convenience stores, liquor stores, etc. She is proposing the City create a map with these problem areas shown so that planners and decision makers have a better understanding of where attention is needed.
 - She expressed how poorly restored communities were addressed in terms of rental housing rehabilitation and homeowner rehabilitation, which she also referenced the 5-year Consolidated Plan that mentions the City's commitment to these aspects. These areas need more attention.
 - Lastly, referring to the health services of the general plan, she mentioned the statistics that over the period of 2020-2025, the Economic Development Department provided 3 small businesses with commercial refrigerators under the Stocked Full of Produce Grant, with project costs of \$35,653.83. She goes on to state with the food deserts we have in the City, we should be making more accommodation/outreach efforts to these problem areas.
- Chairman Herrera asked City staff regarding the public's comments, how do we proceed, i.e. does the committee speak amongst themselves about the public's comments or continue with the agenda. EDD Director Tina

McCarty advised we move on with the meeting's agenda, as the public's comments were made to be noted in the minutes.

4. **ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION**

4.01 STAFF UPDATE ON THE NOFA PROCESS

- Presentation led by EDD Director Tina McCarty.
- CDC Members will now receive an executive summary of applications, rather than the full application, on applicants that receive a 70% or higher score. These applicants will then present their proposals in front of the CDC committee for consideration.
- Following presentations, the committee will be asked to score the presentations based on what programs they feel the City should invest their money into. These scores will then be put into a spreadsheet to produce an average, which will then be added to each applicants' overall scores. Each CDC Member will receive the scoring to see how the averages played out and what applications will be presented to Council for approval.
- The updates to the NOFA process speak to the improvements of transparency in the process, to minimize any opportunity of biases or favoritism throughout the NOFA process.
- Council will receive a full scoring matrix of all applications 2 weeks prior to the council meeting, with the scoring matrix also being included in the council meeting's agenda for the public. The City will decide if the organization's names will be provided or redacted in the meeting agenda so as to not tarnish any organization's reputation.

Once Tina concluded the presentation, Chairman Herrera asked the meeting's Secretary to make note of CDC Member Cannon's arrival at 1:20pm. So noted.

- Chairman Herrera asked if there was anything that brought on these NOFA changes - for example, disgruntled applicants that didn't receive funding – or was it just a general change. EDD Director Tina McCarty stated as the director of Economic Development, she is responsible for making sure we have a defensible, fair, and competitive NOFA process. She also listened to Council's concerns and worked with them to come up with such a process. With over 2,000 nonprofits throughout the City, it's difficult to want to help the community but not have enough funds to go around.
- CDC Member Wood asked of those 2,000 nonprofits how many attended the mandatory NOFA workshop. Lana stated we had 47 participants, representing 34 nonprofits. Member Wood of the remaining 1,900 nonprofits, that are now excluded due to not attending the mandatory meeting, is there another chance they can be considered. Lana and Tina both advised not at this time. Tina emphasized the importance of the mandatory workshop, which was

approved by Council and will be how the NOFA process will be moving forward.

- CDC Member Wood asked if Council is notified of the NOFA workshop participation statistics prior to recommendations being brought to them. Tina stated we have not provided Council with that information in the past. However, speaking to the Council Notification bullet on the presentation, we are looking to provide Council with the scoring matrix no later than 10 days prior to the council meeting approving the recommendations. In the past, Council would not receive any information until the council meeting agenda posted, thus not giving enough time to review the recommendations. We are hoping by providing the scoring matrix it will help give Council a little more time to review prior to the meeting.
- CDC Member Cannon asked how long the whole NOFA process is. Tina advised, while it does depend on the number of applications received, the estimated amount of time would be around 3 months. For example, for 10 applications received, the review requirements and technical reviews could take up to a month; however, for 30 applications received, it would take longer thus prolonging the process.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEM 4.01

- Mary Elizabeth, Resident
 - She congratulated the Economic Development Department on their efforts to notify potential applicants of the NOFA. It shows that the department is doing everything they can to spread the word, which is a critical component in this process.
 - She requested consideration due to being confused about the 2 different processes; she received notification of the Housing NOFA via a picture, which had a date to a meeting but was not clear that it was mandatory. When going to the City website, it was clearer and more concise.
 - Lastly, she stated for the record, during the discussion between Economic Development and the Council members, it was revealed that prior to a few years ago there was no formal scoring process. So, looking back at older applications who were potentially struggling to implement, we should keep that in mind and as we move forward with standardized scoring, it should be solid.

4.02 STAFF PRESENTATION OF THE 2026-2027 CDBG/ESG NOFA PROCESS

- Presentation led by City staff member Lana Lozano, which was a condensed version of what was presented at the NOFA workshop.

- For the mandatory NOFA workshop, there were 47 participants representing 34 organizations.
- She started with the background, which included the approximate amount of CDBG/ESG grant funds for 2026, as we do not have the exact amount from HUD yet.
- CDC Member Wood asked what 2025's grant funding amount was. Lana advised the amounts for 2026 are projections from last year's allocations, so 2025's allocations are what's being projected to the organizations. The 2026 allocations will be released by HUD in late spring.
- Regarding AMI, CDC Member Cannon asked for clarification on the acronym. Deputy Director Jordan advised it means Area Median Income, and this is updated every year by HUD, around April/May.
- CDC Member Wood asked how the City verifies that 51% of grant awardees are LMI (low to moderate income) people. Lana advised the organization should be verifying this through intake procedures and stating to the City that this is the population they are serving.
- CDC Member Cannon asked what if an organization does not have this information or the statistics for the City and how do we verify this information. Lana advised we also do onsite monitoring of all subrecipients and require organizations to utilize the intake forms.
- CDC Member Wood asked prior to our NOFAs, were organizations denied funding due to not being able to verify that 51% of LMI people were being served by their organization or is this requirement being met by organizations. Lana advised over the given years, it hasn't been an issue. With the clientele most organizations are serving, they're presenting programs that are for underprivileged individuals.
- For the ESG funding source, all ESG projects must demonstrate at least a 100% match, which can be satisfied with cash, in-kind contributions, and/or State or Federal funds. This is asked for in the ESG grant application.
- Regarding the scoring rubric, CDC Member Wood asked for clarification on how the scoring allocation works. Tina advised out of the 80 points prior to presentations to the CDC, an applicant needs to reach 70% of the 80 points into order to move to the next step of the application process (which is about 54 points).
- Regarding the scoring rubric, in the Design criteria, CDC Member Cannon asked what that criterion consists of. Lana advised it is the narrative portion of the application; we are asking for the program overview and how it operates. We are also looking to see if they can demonstrate that there is a need for their program in the community. Recently, we have relied on data to get this information. We ask the organization if they are using local, relevant, or recent data to obtain this information.
- Regarding Common Issues- Chairman Herrera asked for an example of what "conflict of interest" could look like. Lana gave the example of an employee of the organization that's being paid by the

organization and having them be a voting member on their board of directors. That is not allowed.

- Regarding the scoring rubric, under the Collaboration criteria- CDC member Cannon asked for clarification on the criterion. Lana advised we are looking to see that the applicant is tuned in to the community and utilizing other organization's resources where they don't have the capacity to fill certain needs. Specifically, for ESG applicants- we are looking to see what program they are running and are they referring out to other programs for services they don't provide.
- Regarding the Experience and Capacity criteria on the scoring rubric, CDC Member Wood asked how new organizations can pass the criteria to meet the 70% score if they don't necessarily have prior experience, which will lead to not having past performance or collaboration with other organizations. He stated we could be excluding brand new organizations from applying. Lana advised applicants have the opportunity to tell us about their experience, even if it's not with the current organization they are with now. We are looking to see if they have experience doing what they have done before and have the capacity to do it.
- City staff member Carmen Lambert added we also look for if an organization has experience with overseeing federal/state funding as well.
- Regarding the office hours that were held for applicants to ask questions, CDC Member Cannon asked if the City has seen a success rate for attending these or if it's been beneficial for the organizations to attend. Lana advised while we can't say for certain that organizations will be more successful, we can say that the applicants who attend will get an education. We often get the same questions asked, and even organizations who have applied before still ask questions. So overall, the office hours are successful for the sake of being. It also helps us as staff to foster a relationship with newer organizations and feel apt to approach us at community events or council meetings to talk to us and ask questions.
- CDC Member Perez asked what kind of developments were funded in 2025 with this funding. Deputy Director Jordan clarified that this specific grant funding is not for development; ESG funding is for operating dollars for shelters, public outreach, etc. CDBG funding is for eligible public service activities such as youth services. There is the Housing NOFA that speaks to development, which we can speak to at future meetings.
- CDC Member Perez rephrased her question to what new services were granted funding and how many new services were provided in the community. Lana and Carmen advised a new organization, Friends Outside, was granted CDBG funding for the 2025-2026 grant period. They assist with recidivism, helping gentlemen who are released from jail get back on their feet. This was the new service and organization granted funding.

- CDC Member Cannon asked if there is a cap on how many times an organization can receive funds. Lana advised there is no cap.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEM 4.02

- Mary Elizabeth, Resident
 - Regarding her question about the number of attendees for the mandatory workshop – this was asked and answered by CDC Member Wood and Lana.
 - Referring to the CDBG Income Limits Chart, she would like a breakdown of the percentages and what percentage amounts are considered median and low. She feels the terminology is a bit confusing.
 - She asked for the NOFA FAQs to be posted on the City website for the public's viewing.
 - Lastly, now that the NOFA process is requiring applicants to provide an executive summary, she asked that the awardees be posted on the City website, on the Economic Development page, and have the program's executive summaries linked, so the public has a place to see what new projects were awarded in the City and can read what the program is about.

5. MATTERS INITIATED/FUTURE AGENDA BUILDING ITEMS BY COMMITTEE AND STAFF

- CDC Member Wood mentioned his previous request from the last meeting regarding getting more information about the City's loan program financing. Lana advised it did not make this meeting's agenda, but we can schedule it for the next meeting. Jordan asked for clarity from Member Wood, specifically if he wanted a status update on HUD funded housing projects, to see where they're at in their loan process. Member Wood said yes, but more so he wanted an understanding of how these loans are going to be paid off, as some of these 30–40-year loans are coming due relatively soon. He also wanted to know more about the program income and what the requirements are for these loans.
- CDC Member Cannon requested a pre-scheduled calendar with all upcoming CDC meetings. Seconded by CDC Member Mayfield. Jordan advised we can do that and will work on getting the dates and times booked by the next CDC meeting. Lana added for February's upcoming meeting, we will be at the North Hall in the Civic Auditorium, but as the City transitions operations to the new City Hall Towers, future meetings could possibly be held in the Council Chambers at historic City Hall.
- CDC Member Mayfield highlighted the public's comments and asked that we address these comments at the next CDC Meeting.
- CDC Member Wood highlighted the public comments from last CDC Meeting and asked if we address those comments as well at the next CDC Meeting.

Lana took a few minutes to introduce 2 new City staff members: Justin Alexander, Program Manager for the Homelessness Division, and Andrew Rossete, Grants Analyst for the Housing Division.

6. ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Herrera requested motion to adjourn. Seconded by CDC Member Mayfield and Member Perez. Meeting adjourned at 2:16pm.

By:



LANA LOZANO

HOMELESS STRATEGIC INITIATIVES MANAGER

City of Stockton Community Development Committee
425 North El Dorado Ave Stockton CA 95202
via email: city.clerk@stocktonca.gov;

12.18.2025

RE: Comments for the 12.18.2025 Community Development Committee Meeting

I have provided agenda and non-agenda comments for your consideration. Thank you for changing the location of the meeting from the North Hall of the Civic Auditorium to Council Chambers at City Hall so that the meeting can be recorded for those not able to attend the meeting or wait until the next meeting is scheduled to review minutes. This is according to the posted agenda of the meeting location. I thought I saw a notice email of change of location and there should have been an agenda amendment but there was not as of 9:23 AM. Unfortunately, when confirming the meeting location today, I was informed that meeting had been moved to North Hall of the Civic Auditorium where there is not a dedicated recording system for folks unable to attend the meeting. I understand that there will be a sign placed on the Council Chambers and hope if anyone arrives late that they will be afforded the opportunity to provide comments before the close of the meeting.

Thank you also for abiding by the Cease and Desist notice dated 10.20.2025¹ submitted to the City of Stockton Council and Staff following verbal notice provided on 9.23.2025 specifically related to making available staff reports in advance of the Committee meeting as required by the Brown Act.

9.18.2025 Minutes Comments

The minutes of the 9.18.2025 meeting included references to verbal comments made by members of the public but did not include reference to the written communication submitted in advance of the meeting, which City of Stockton staff received and should have provided to members of the Committee for their consideration.² As written communication was submitted, reference to the written communication should have been included in the minutes of the meeting and if the meeting submittal is not linked and readily available for review the minutes should also provide information as to how the public may obtain the information. Please amend the minutes of the meeting to include written comments submitted. This is an important step especially since the Committee meeting during the day.

The City's Housing Element and General Plan considers rental housing so this should be under the committee's purview. I requested the outcome statistics for the last round of inspections including the number of housing units and violations encountered and citations issued be made available, so that the Committee might be informed and thus able to provide directions to staff asking that a plan for improvement can be developed by staff. The minutes stated that after Chairman Herera's comment the Housing Manager Juan Gonzalez advised that the comments will be reviewed to determine which department assists with stated comments/concerns and that the comment will be directed to them. No response has been received to these comments. The minutes also indicated

¹ [10.20.2025 cease and desist ME ComDevComm.pdf](#)

² [9.18.2025 COS CDC ME.pdf](#)

that Committee member Wood moved and seconded by Member Cannon that the item presenting loan program requirements were to put on the next meeting.

No presentation about the loan program was included in the 12.18.2025 agenda material and additionally information about repayment of loan was requested but not recorded in the minutes. A summary of all housing loans and balances is needed for truth and transparency.

Non-Agenda Comments

The 2018 General Plan includes the following **Goal CH-2: Restored Communities:**

Restore disadvantaged communities to help them become more vibrant and cohesive neighborhoods with high-quality affordable housing, a range of employment options, enhanced social and health services, and active public spaces.

POLICY CH-2.1 Prioritize maintenance of streets and improvement of sidewalks, parks, and other infrastructure in areas of the city that historically have been comparatively underserved by public facilities, including implementation of complete streets where needed, especially in conjunction with infrastructure maintenance and improvement projects.

Action CH-2.1B Provide incentives for rehabilitation or redevelopment of distressed properties that takes into consideration strategies to avoid gentrification.

POLICY CH-2.3 Focus on reducing the unique and compounded environmental impacts and risks in disadvantaged communities.

Action CH-2.3B Expand efforts to repair and rehabilitate substandard housing in disadvantaged communities, taking into consideration strategies to avoid gentrification

The 2018 General Plan also included **Goal CH-4: Affordable Housing**

POLICY CH-4.1 Attract and encourage residential development that offers a range of housing options to meet the needs and income constraints of all Stockton residents.

Action CH-4.1E Ensure that affordable housing projects and project components meet health and safety requirements that are consistent with market rate housing during project application review and ongoing Code enforcement.

These actions have not been implemented as promised with only 6 homeowner houses rehabilitated and 0 rental units rehabilitated during the 2024-2025 fiscal year according to the September 2025 presentation included with the 9.18.2025 minutes. Additionally, only 14 homeowner housing units and 0 rental units were rehabilitated during the 5-year period of 2020-2025. Whether or not these housing units were located in disadvantaged communities is unknown. Affordable housing must be compliant with health and safety requirements for buildings and rental units that is enforced by the City of Stockton Code Enforcement Neighborhood Services of the Police Department. More is needed to ensure that **safe housing** is available in areas of our community that are most disadvantaged.

The 2018 General Plan also includes GOAL CH-1: HEALTHY PEOPLE Support the ability of the entire community to maintain healthy lifestyles.

POLICY CH-1.2 Promote healthy retail food choices within and adjacent to residential areas.

Action CH-1.2A Adapt, expand, and target existing small business development programs to provide training, promotion, and technical, financial, and business assistance to small neighborhood grocery and convenience stores that provide healthy food and accept Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP or CalFresh) and Supplemental Nutrition for Women, Infants and Children (WIC).

Material from the 9.18.2025 Committee meeting included under Goal 3: Economic Development was that the Economic Department provided 3 small businesses with commercial refrigerators to sell fresh produce to Stockton residents located within food deserts with project costs of **\$35,653.83 over the period 2020-2025**. Please locate these businesses on the map the City of Stockton should have created with mini-marts (convenience stores) to focus outreach efforts to improve healthy food availability in our neighborhoods.

The 2018 The COS 2040 General Plan dated 2018 states:

- | Action CH-3.2B Consider options and develop an ordinance to restrict mini markets, gas stations, fast food restaurants, check-cashing establishments, and tobacco stores in areas with high existing concentrations of similar establishments and continue to restrict over-concentrations of liquor stores through the City's Alcohol Ordinance. To inform the development of this ordinance, create a map that identifies the locations of current establishments of these types, and regularly maintain it so that it continues to aid in decision-making about such uses.

4.02 STAFF PRESENTATION OF THE 2026-27 CDBG / ESG NOFA PROCESS Comments

NOFA solicits projects that primarily benefit Low to Moderate Income (LMI) persons. What percentage of AMI is classified as low to moderate Income? How many potential applicants attended the mandatory meeting 12.3.2025?

You may contact me at melizabeth.sierra@gmail.com.

Sincerely,

Mary Elizabeth M.S., R.E.H.S.

COUNCIL APPROVED NOTICE OF FUNDING AVAILABILITY (NOFA) PROCESS

**CDC MEETING
DECEMBER 18, 2025
ITEM 4.01**

**FROM: CITY COUNCIL
MEETING NOVEMBER 4,
2025
AGENDA ITEM 16.1**

CURRENT
NOFA
PROCESS

1. NOFA Release
- 2. Mandatory Participation Meeting**
- 3. Online Office Hours**
4. Question Window/Response
5. Proposal Due Date
6. Requirement Review- Pass/Fail
7. Technical Review (Scoring)
- 8. CDC Presentations**
9. Scoring Tabulation
10. Council Notification
11. Council Recommendation

Process Improvement/ Changes

- ❖ Ensure staff participate in only one phase of review
- ❖ Initial Review for Requirements- Pass/ Fail
- ❖ CDC receives executive project summaries and scores presentations to be added to the technical scores
- ❖ CDC- Receives combined scopes, which will become recommendation to the Council
- ❖ Council will receive a full scoring matrix listing entities and ranking 2 weeks prior to the Council meeting
- ❖ Council may request time to review all proposals in detail with 24 hours notice to staff



**2026-2027
CDBG/ESG
Public Service NOFA
Application Workshop**

CDC OVERVIEW

BACKGROUND

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)

- 2026: Approximately \$506,500* available

Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG)

- 2026: Approximately \$263,000* available

** Amounts listed are a projection based on previous program year allocations. Final amounts are subject to official HUD allocations expected to be released early to **late Spring 2026**. The official HUD allocation may be greater than or less than the projected amount.*

ELIGIBILITY

Eligible applicants

- ✓ Eligible 501 (c)(3) non-profits or faith-based organizations

Eligible projects

- ✓ Public Service Projects

NOTE: Application proposals for affordable housing and construction projects including public facility projects will NOT be considered for funding.

Service activities, including, but not limited to:

- Senior Services
- Youth Services
- Housing Services
- Food Assistance
- Health Services
- Employment Training
- Tenant/Landlord Counseling
- Drug Abuse Counseling & Treatment
- Services to Disabled Individuals
- Services for Victims of Domestic Violence

NOFA solicits projects that primarily benefit Low to Moderate Income (LMI) persons.

- Limited clientele activities
 - 24 CFR 570.208(a) limited clientele activity requires that at least 51 percent of beneficiaries be LMI persons)

CDBG

Eligible Activities

CDBG Income Limits (2026-2027)

% of AMI	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household
30%	\$20,200	\$25,150	\$28,300	\$32,150
50%	\$36,650	\$41,850	\$47,100	\$52,300
80%	\$58,600	\$67,000	\$75,350	\$83,700
% of AMI (cont'd)	5-Person Household	6-Person Household	7-Person Household	8-Person Household
30%	\$37,650	\$43,150	\$48,650	\$54,150
50%	\$56,500	\$60,700	\$64,900	\$69,050
80%	\$90,400	\$97,100	\$103,800	\$110,500

NOTE: These numbers change each program year. It is the responsibility of the CDBG funded organization to update client intake procedures in accordance with HUD's updated income limits.

ESG funding is meant for services to benefit homeless persons and/or persons at risk of homelessness.

Eligible activities according to 24 CFR 576:

- Street Outreach
- Emergency Shelter
- Homelessness Prevention
- Rapid Re-Housing
- HMIS Data Collection

All ESG projects **MUST** demonstrate at least a 100% match which may be satisfied with cash, in-kind contributions, and/or State and Federal funds.

ESG Eligible Activities

Scoring Rubric

Criteria	Points
Design	28
Budget	20
Experience and Capacity	24
Past Performance and General Compliance	4
Collaboration	4
Presentation (scored by the Community Development Committee Only)	20
TOTAL	100

Application Walk-Through

Common Issues

- ▶ Conflict of Interest
- ▶ Uncompleted areas of the application blank
- ▶ Ineligible Budget items
- ▶ Vague/Unclear project plans
- ▶ Lack of connection between the community need, the proposed project, and the budget
- ▶ Proposing multiple projects under one application
- ▶ Failure to support problem/need with statistics/data

NOFA Timeline

November 19, 2025	12:00 PM	NOFA Schedule is Released
Wed., December 3, 2025	10:00 AM	Mandatory Application Workshop – Application Period Opens
Thurs., December 11, 2025	1:00 PM	Application Office Hour Session #1
Wed., December 17, 2025	10:00 AM	Application Office Hour Session #2
Fri., December 19, 2025	4:00 PM	Deadline to Submit Questions
Tues, December 30, 2025	TBD	FAQ Sheet released
Thurs., January 15, 2026	4:00 PM	Application Deadline
Thurs., February 26, 2026	TBD	CDC Meeting (CDBG/ESG applicant presentations and scoring)
March 2026	TBD	Annual Action Plan Public comment period begins
Tues., April 14, 2026	TBD	Council public hearing: 2026/27 Action Plan (including funding recommendations)