



2017 State Legislative Program



Stockton Mayor & City Council

Michael D. Tubbs..... Mayor
Elbert Holman, JrDistrict 1
Dan Wright District 2
Susan Loftus.....District 3
Susan LenzDistrict 4
Christina FugaziDistrict 5
Jesus AndradeDistrict 6
Kurt WilsonCity Manager

Christian Clegg, Assistant to the City Manager
City of Stockton
425 N. El Dorado St.
Stockton, CA 95202
(209) 937-8205
Christian.Clegg@stocktongov.com

State Advocates
Emanuel Jones and Associates
David A. Jones
President
1400 K Street, Suite 306
Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone (916) 444-6789

Table of Contents

Definitions.....	4
State of California Delegation	5
City Council Strategic Work Plan	6
Legislative Program	7
Legislative Principles.....	8
2017 State Legislative Priorities	9
1. Advocate for our fair share of State Funding.....	9
2. Advocate for reasonable and measured relief from SB 5 flood requirements.....	10
3. Advocate for and pursue transportation, infrastructure and affordable housing funding available through new State programs or funding initiatives.....	11
4. Protect our interests in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta	12
5. Promote legislation and funding allocations that enhance educational outcomes in Stockton; specifically pursue policy development and funding for early childhood education and proactively engage in efforts to bring a California State University (CSU) Campus to Stockton	13
2017 State Legislative Positions	15

Definitions

Legislative Program

The Stockton City Council will adopt a Legislative Platform prior to the beginning of each calendar year. The Legislative Platform sets forth the City of Stockton's legislative priorities and interests, and provides general authority and direction regarding advocacy efforts on behalf of the City to: the City Manager's Office; City departments; and the City's state legislative advocate.

Legislative Principle

Legislative Principles are foundational statements of broad legislative objectives or philosophies that form the basis for establishing Legislative Priorities and Positions.

Legislative Priority

Items receive designation as Legislative Priorities within the Legislative Program when it is anticipated that they will (1) have a significant impact on the City of Stockton; (2) require significant effort by City staff and/or the City's state advocate; and (3) have a strategic action identified to address a high level priority for the City.

Legislative Position

The City of Stockton has numerous areas of legislative interest beyond those that rise to the surface as Legislative Priorities. The City prepares position statements for both the themes of legislation and funding that regularly recur on the state level as well as new issues that arise each year in the legislative process. Legislative Positions provide background as to the importance of the issue to the City of Stockton and state the position of the City and any action that will be pursued to address it.

State of California Delegation

Senate

The Honorable Cathleen Galgiani
District 3
State Capitol
Room 5097
Sacramento, CA 94248

Assembly

The Honorable Susan Eggman
District 13
State Capitol
Room
Sacramento, CA 94249

City Council Strategic Work Plan

Strategic Targets:

- Public Safety
- Fiscal Sustainability
- Economic Development
- Infrastructure
-

Priority Goals:

Tier 1

1. Focus on crime reduction, blight reduction and outreach for “Hot Zones” and “Hot People”
2. Adopt a budget and allocate resources consistent with the Long-Range Financial Plan; implement solutions that provide financial transparency to the community
3. Develop business incentives, including incentive tools for underserved neighborhoods
4. Maximize relationships with partner agencies and organizations in carrying out and funding priority projects
5. Develop solutions that address housing infrastructure for the homeless

Tier 2

1. Prioritize resource allocation and infrastructure projects to “Hot Zones” within Council Districts
2. Engage private employers and the business community in workforce development and job placement; develop an employment pipeline for Stockton residents to Stockton employers
3. Achieve full staffing for positions funded through Measure A

Legislative Program

Purpose

Throughout each year, the State Legislature proposes and enacts legislation, some of which affects local municipal government. The League of California Cities and contracted legislative advocacy firms keep the City of Stockton informed on proposed legislation from these bodies. At times, it is in the interests of the City to take a position on proposed legislation. The State Legislative Program establishes Legislative Principles, Priorities and Positions that provide direction for advocating for the City of Stockton with the state government.

Process

For proposed legislation, either consistent with the City's Legislative Program or consistent with legislative positions the City has taken in the past, City staff shall be authorized to prepare position letters for the Mayor's signature. Should availability be an issue, the Vice Mayor or City Manager shall be authorized to sign position letters in lieu of the Mayor. All members of City Council shall receive copies of sent position letters. The City's advocacy firm may also be authorized to express the City's position in person to legislative representatives.

Items not addressed in the City's Legislative Priorities may require they be brought to the City Council for consideration. These legislative priorities may only address issues directly relevant to or impacting the provision of municipal services. Generally, the City will not address matters that are not pertinent to the City's local government services such as partisan, socially divisive or international issues.

Legislative Principles

In its relationship with the state government, the City will always:

- Seek the broadest authority for our citizens and the City Council to make decisions locally
- Seek out, develop, and support legislative and budget efforts that protect and/or enhance local governments revenues, maximize the City's access to funding, and/or increase local funding flexibility
- Support legislative and budget action that will provide robust funding for domestic infrastructure, public safety, community development and environmental programs which support City efforts to maintain and enhance the quality of life
- Oppose legislative or administrative actions that have negative fiscal impacts to the City
- Oppose legislative or administrative actions which would create unfunded mandates and/or preempt local decision making authority
- Encourage and seek legislation to facilitate orderly and sustainable economic expansion and growth, and increase the opportunity for discretionary revenues and programmatic and financial flexibility for the City
- Seek opportunities to help our partners achieve success in order to further improve the community
- Support legislation that furthers the adopted City Council Goals

It is within this philosophy that the attached state legislative priorities and positions are adopted.

2017 State Legislative Priorities

1. Advocate for our fair share of State Funding
2. Advocate for reasonable and measured relief from SB 5 flood requirements
3. Advocate for and pursue transportation, infrastructure and affordable housing funding available through new State programs or funding initiatives
4. Protect our interests in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta
5. Promote legislation and funding allocations that enhance educational outcomes in Stockton

1. Advocate for our fair share of State Funding

Background: The City of Stockton has been successful in receiving state funding through both project specific earmarks and competitive funding application processes.

However, the City of Stockton has been underrepresented in recent years and has only in the past year taken the opportunity to develop more positive working relationships with state agencies and explain our unique needs. In addition, the City has experienced reduction in funding from the state as a result of direct removal of revenues previously remitted to municipalities examples of which include Vehicle License Fee revenues and Redevelopment Agency revenues.

Position: The City of Stockton should more aggressively target earmark funding when available by establishing priority projects and advocating for available funding to our state delegation. Priority projects will be identified each year based on the strategic needs of the City while taking into consideration the eligibility of projects for the funding sources available and the likelihood of funding advocacy efforts being successful. The City of Stockton should also leverage the Sacramento presence of our state advocate to develop positive working relationships with key state agency executives. The City should also advocate for maintaining funding levels for priority state agency programs. In addition, the City should target funding opportunities that will have the most beneficial impact to General Fund operations. Furthermore, the City should oppose any state actions that reduce funding to municipalities or create unfunded mandates.

Action: Advocate maintaining funding for priority state agency programs including:

- State COPS program
- Transportation funding

Action: Develop a stronger relationship with state agency executives through Emanuels Jones

- Organize meetings with granting agencies in advance of key project applications
- Develop working relationships with state agencies that have programs and resources relevant to the Office of Violence Prevention

Action: Advocate for equitable processes and equitable sharing of resources related to new State funding sources or State Bond issuances. Advocate for equity in allocating new funding for transportation and floodplain management infrastructure.

Action: Oppose legislation or executive action that reduces funding to the City or creates an unfunded mandate.

Action: Advocate for the following priority project list for consideration in grant applications and for earmark funding when available:

- Wastewater Infrastructure Replacement and Treatment Facility Upgrade Projects (State Revolving Loan Fund)
- Miner Avenue Streetscape Improvements (Downtown to Cabral Rail Station)
- Lower Sacramento Road improvements
- North Stockton I-5 interchanges and widening
- Highway 99 – 8-Mile Road interchange
- Sanitary Pump Station and Collection System Improvements Downtown
- Floodplain management infrastructure
- Gang prevention, intervention, and suppression
- Youth services and programs
- Recovery of Vehicle License Fee (VLF) revenues taken by the state
- Integrated Regional Water Management Plan
- Projects or programs that would support implementation of the Stockton Economic Development Strategic Plan
- Rehabilitation or development of new park or open spaces in underserved areas or in disadvantaged communities with limited options for active lifestyles

2. Advocate for reasonable and measured relief from SB 5 flood requirements

Background: In 2008, comprehensive flood protection legislation was enacted that placed new regulatory burdens on municipalities for assessing, documenting and putting in place land-use regulations and flood protection measures intended to mitigate potential flood risks. These new regulations increased flood protection requirements to 200-year flood maps and placed the burden on local governments to develop maps and land use regulations before any development could go forward. The timelines for implementing this legislation were particularly constricted and the little assistance promised by the State in developing maps has not been provided in a timely manner. Regardless of the actual flood risk for a given geography, in the absence of the required maps and land use designations, no development can be approved in areas of potential risk. In addition, the flood protection measures required will be cost prohibitive and may take many years to fund, with development stalling in the interim. In 2013, the City of Stockton attempted to build a coalition to extend the timeline for implementation of these new requirements to provide some temporary relief and establish more realistic expectations. In 2014, the City aggressively pursued legislative remedies that would provide a measure of local discretion in allowing infill development in areas impacted by flood protection regulations, but it has been met with significant political challenges. In 2015, the City adjusted its focus to advocate for municipalities by clarifying existing SB 5 language. The City sponsored a bill with Assembly Member Eggman (AB 747) to clarify the requirements of SB 5 flood plain mapping and permitting requirements for the

approval of routine public infrastructure. AB 747 (Eggman) was successfully chaptered into law in August, 2015. The clarification to SB 5 simplifies the approval process for routine public infrastructure such as signage. Absent this clarification, considerable time and resources would have been required to complete even basic infrastructure installations at significant cost and delay to the City.

Position: The recently adopted flood control laws contain unrealistic expectations related to land use policy development, flood control measure implementation and permitting requirements. The timelines and requirements for implementation are unattainable. These requirements have in effect halted potential development in geographies that have low risk, are protected or are already developed urban areas. It is anticipated that as municipalities rush to comply with new requirements to update their general plans and zoning ordinances related to flood management, that there may be multiple areas where the flood risk remains undetermined. The realistic impact is that development will not occur in these areas. This could have particularly negative impacts on the City of Stockton and halt development that is necessary for the recovery of our community.

Action: Oppose any legislation or administrative action that imposes additional mandates or requirements related to flood protection until current regulations have been implemented. Support legislation that addresses flood risk and protection while taking into consideration the needs and concerns of the City. Advocate for legislation that would provide a phased or measured approach for coming into compliance with new flood protection requirements. Advocate for legislation that would balance flood risk and protection with measured and reasonable infill development in established urban areas. Advocate for legislation that would take into consideration the fiscal capacity and development challenges of communities that will essentially be unable to facilitate development within the current regulatory framework. Support legislation consistent with the principles outlined in the City's Economic Development Strategic Plan and amended General Plan. Proactively seek coalition building opportunities at the State and Federal level with those that share common interests in prudently and equitably implementing flood protection regulations. Advocate for consideration in any levy funding packages developed at the State or regional level.

3. Advocate for and pursue transportation, infrastructure and affordable housing funding available through new State programs or funding initiatives

Background: Recent legislation has allocated appropriations from Cap-and-Trade auction revenues to be used for projects and programs that will benefit cities. The State budget made a one-year appropriation for specific allocations as well as a continuous appropriation to transit, sustainable communities' implementation, and affordable housing beginning in FY 2015-16. Initial budgets reserved 40% of Cap-and-Trade revenues for future appropriation. This approach provides stable and predictable funding for planning infrastructure investments, while allowing some flexibility for the Legislature and Governor to respond to the priorities for future budget years. In addition, the City strongly supported SB 535 (De León) which requires that 25% of all

non-utility Cap-and-Trade revenues be used to the benefit of disadvantaged communities. The bill required CalEPA to identify disadvantaged communities based on geographic, socioeconomic, public health, and environmental hazard criteria. Recent budget developments at the state level have eroded the long-term sustainability of this program. However, initial appropriations remain as well as the disadvantaged communities' allocation. In addition, a strongly supported transportation infrastructure package has been introduced by both the Assembly and the Senate. This infrastructure package would redesign the structure for funding infrastructure and generate additional revenues to begin addressing deferred maintenance and deferred projects.

Position: The City of Stockton supports state programs that provide transportation, infrastructure and affordable housing funding to local governments. The City of Stockton support grants and other financial assistance to local governments for greenhouse gas emissions reduction projects. Stockton is well positioned to benefit from these funds and use the funds to promote projects that reduce greenhouse gas emissions and also provide additional jobs in the community. The City of Stockton is a disadvantaged community that has suffered the impacts of the Great Recession and climate change to a greater degree than most communities. The City of Stockton should have access to an equitable share of grant funding related to Cap and Trade revenues allocated for Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reductions Projects. In addition, the City of Stockton has experienced significant deferred maintenance related to transportation and other critical municipal infrastructure. The City of Stockton should have access to an equitable share of transportation and infrastructure funding authorized by the State.

Action: Closely monitor legislation and rule-making outlining how transportation, infrastructure and affordable housing funding will be allocated. Strongly support and closely monitor the infrastructure financing legislation for the 2017 legislative session. Closely monitor how disadvantaged communities are defined and how projects are identified as benefiting disadvantaged communities. Advocate for legislation and rule-making that would take into consideration the relevant factors that demonstrate that Stockton is a disadvantaged community. Aggressively pursue grant applications through the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program. Aggressively pursue transportation and infrastructure grant applications resulting from new transportation funding authorization. Advocate for rule-making and funding allocations that would make projects viable that are consistent with the City's Capital Improvement Program and Economic Development Strategic Plan.

4. Protect our interests in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta

Background: The City has been a strong advocate for Delta Stewardship for many years. This advocacy was reaffirmed in 2012 by forming a coalition of municipalities in San Joaquin County to articulate the joint interest related to protecting the water supply, economic vitality and local land use authority in the Delta. The City has also opposed the construction of a Peripheral Canal or other isolated water conveyance facility in the

Delta. Furthermore, preliminary activity of the Delta Stewardship Council suggests that they will attempt to supersede existing land use plans and approved projects. The City expressed its strong concern related to these activities through the Delta Coalition advocacy efforts during 2012. The City has continued advocacy efforts throughout the past 4 years, including participation in the Delta Coalition, review and comment on Delta related planning documents from State agencies, advocacy related to the 2014 State Water Bond and flood protection advocacy related to the 200-year flood protection mandate created by SB 5, 2008. There have been recent efforts to create a modified Bay Delta Conservation Plan with reduced sized tunnels.

Position: No actions should be taken related to the Delta that negatively impact the existing water rights, water supply, water quality, air quality, economic vitality, local land use control or sustainability of the Delta.

Action: Oppose any legislation or administrative action that negatively impacts existing City of Stockton interests in the Delta. Support legislation that maintains local control of water rights and land use. Support legislation that addresses the needs and concerns of the Delta in a balanced and objective manner. Advocate for the City's interests throughout the review and adoption of the Bay Delta Conservation Plan. Proactively protect the City's rights and interests related to groundwater. Proactively follow development of the process for allocating funding generated through the State Water Bond. Proactively seek coalition building opportunities at the State and Federal level with those that share common interests in prudently and equitably allocating funding to water projects and/or those that share common interests in protecting water rights within the Delta. Closely monitor modifications to the Bay Delta Conservation Plan and ensure that the City of Stockton receives consideration in any negotiations or discussions of alternative solutions. Also see Section 7. Delta Protection and Enhancement of the Legislative Positions for more information.

5. Promote legislation and funding allocations that enhance educational outcomes in Stockton; specifically pursue policy development and funding for early childhood education and proactively engage in efforts to bring a California State University (CSU) Campus to Stockton

Background: The 2014-15 Strategic Work Plan of the Stockton City Council outlines Public Safety, Economic Development and Youth as a strategic targets. In addition, the Marshall Plan on Crime and the Economic Development Strategic Plan identify early childhood education and workforce development respectively as key elements of success in improving the community. Furthermore, the South Stockton Promise Zone strategic work plan reflects the emerging best practice of including early childhood development and other education indicators as critical components of the cradle to career education and workforce development pipeline. The City Council has publicly stated that support of educational outcomes and programs is a legislative priority of the City.

Position: Support of educational outcomes and institutions is consistent with the Council goals to improve the safety, economic development and youth outcomes for our community. The City of Stockton supports legislation and funding that will enhance educational outcomes with priority for early childhood education. The residents of the City of Stockton should have equitable access to higher education opportunities. The City of Stockton, as a major metropolitan community of California, should have equal access to State funding for a CSU Stockton Campus.

Action: Support legislation that will enhance educational outcomes and institutions in the community. Support funding appropriations for early childhood development and a CSU Stockton Campus. Actively pursue, support and work in coalition to bring a CSU Stockton Campus to our community. Oppose legislation that would inhibit bringing a CSU Stockton Campus. Oppose legislation, state regulations or funding reductions that would negatively impact educational outcomes in Stockton.

2017 State Legislative Positions

1. Protect Local Control
2. Protect local Revenues and Funding for Vital Community Services
3. Public Safety
4. Infrastructure Investment
5. Improve the Quality of Life and Sustainability of the City
6. Employment and Labor Relations
7. Delta Protection and Enhancement

1. Protect Local Control

Support efforts that are consistent with the doctrine of "home rule" and the local exercise of police powers, through planning and zoning processes, over local land use.

Oppose legislation that negatively impacts the City Council's authority to manage and control the public right-of-way and for the City to receive compensation for its use.

Oppose any legislation that attempts to limit the ability of the City to avail ourselves of the protections offered under chapter 9 or any other action that undermines the City's ability to restructure our finances. Oppose any legislation that limits the ability of the City to move beyond chapter 9 protection or places burdens or mandates on municipalities exiting chapter 9 protection.

2. Protect Local Revenues and Funding for Vital Community Services

The City acknowledges the State's financial challenge, but the City will oppose efforts that capture or divert local revenue or efforts which shift responsibility for State services or programs to local government without commensurate revenue.

Support full reimbursement to cities for all State mandates.

Oppose legislation that eliminates or restricts the taxing authority of cities; weakens existing Government Code section 66000 fee authority; or redefines any development tax, condition, or other monetary change as development fees.

Support legislation that protects the ability of cities to recover the costs of mandated programs through fees that are exempt from Proposition 218 as well as potential exemptions for stormwater infrastructure projects.

Support efforts to restore local authority and control over revenues, governance, and service delivery as well as protect local governments against unfunded mandates.

Oppose any legislation that attempts to allocate additional general liability exposure to cities or that seeks to prohibit the ability of cities to seek maximum indemnification from other parties in the contractual process.

Support efforts to allocate State Cap & Trade revenues to local government projects that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Advocate for grants or loans to upgrade municipal irrigation systems and install smart controls. This will reduce water use due to leakage loss and over irrigation. Advocate for further grants to upgrade and maintain the urban forest.

Advocate for state taxation policies and procedures that ensure that taxing authorities and services provided by the City are fairly and adequately funded.

Support efforts to lower the voter approval threshold for local library bond measures and special taxes to 55%.

Support legislative efforts that maximize reimbursement to local governments for medical transport and fire responder paramedic services to individuals that are beneficiaries of state and federal medical coverage.

3. Public Safety

Support funding for local law enforcement equipment, services, or personnel.

Support legislation that allows use of state public safety grants for intervention and prevention efforts to curb gang crime and youth violence.

Support legislation that would provide cities with a greater share of fines and forfeitures.

Support legislation that allows law enforcement to better combat gun violence through investigation, interdiction, and prevention of firearm related crimes.

Support legislation that allows use of state public safety grants for maintenance efforts in addition to service increases.

Support legislation and administrative actions that protect the City's interests related to the AB 109 public safety realignment initiative including but not limited to equitable distribution of funding and policies that do not unfairly impact Stockton and San Joaquin County.

Support legislation and administrative actions that protect the City's interests related to Proposition 47 and processes and procedures put in place for its implementation.

Ensure local discretion in the adoption and implementation of health and safety standards contained in the building and fire codes.

Oppose legislation that mandates local building and fire code standards that negatively impact the health and safety of the community and add undue burden and investment in the City.

Support funding for local emergency operations including equipment, services, and personnel.

4. Infrastructure Investment

Advocate for state funding and transportation re-authorizations that support City infrastructure projects and City programs including projects adopted in the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

Support increased funding to enhance existing public transportation programs.

Advocate for state funding for street, road, and alleyway repair within the City of Stockton.

Advocate that fundamental responsibility for transportation decision making should be at the local level.

Oppose any state reductions to existing transportation grants and programs.

Oppose legislation that limits and/or decreases the existing amount of retention proceeds withheld from any payment by a public entity to a contractor on a public project.

Support equitable long-range, statewide infrastructure investment.

Advocate for fair implementation and distribution of state infrastructure bond funds to assure the City receives an adequate share for local improvements and programs.

Advocate for trails and landscape grants consistent with the Waterfront Connections Plan that calls for further landscape, street scape and public access improvements to the Stockton Channel.

Support efforts to provide high speed capacity broadband provisions for all libraries to ensure full, equal electronic services.

5. Improve the Quality of Life and Sustainability of the City

Support efforts that impact the City's ability to enhance the well-being, quality of life, health, and safety of residents; stabilize and enhance jobs and economic growth; and improve the environment.

Support legislation that provides economic development and redevelopment tools and programs for municipalities, particularly tools to assist urban revitalization.

Support legislation and State funding that is consistent with the City's Economic Development Strategic Plan.

Support legislation and State funding that would support educational efforts in the City of Stockton and improve educational outcomes.

Support legislation and State funding that would increase access to higher education for Stockton residents.

Support legislation that provides financial assistance for local public libraries without the imposition of new taxes.

Oppose legislation that reduces funding for libraries including public library funds.

Support legislation that makes funds available to upgrade, remodel existing libraries, and build new libraries.

Support legislation that provides financial support and incentives for programs that provide affordable housing for disabled and low-income residents, families, and senior adults.

Support legislation that protects and maintains existing affordable housing opportunities for disabled and low income residents, families, and senior adults.

Support legislation and funding for energy-efficiency projects and programs for public buildings and facilities.

Support legislation providing funding for the acquisition and restoration of wildlife habitat.

Encourage the use of water conservation techniques.

Support statewide funding for rehabilitation, development and capital improvements for local and state park and recreation agencies.

Support the enactment of policies and encourage state funding opportunities to assist agencies in meeting sustainability objectives including energy and water efficiencies, non-motorized trail development and connectivity, carbon sequestration through natural environment management, protection and enhancement.

Advocate for legislation that requires manufacturers and retailers to address hazardous, expensive, or difficult to manage waste streams that are a burden to local governments.

Oppose legislation that has a negative impact on park and recreation agencies including the sale of parks and open space.

Support access for all Californians to physical activity and nutrition through parks and open space, active transportation (bicycles and pedestrians, trails, complete streets, use of easements), healthy foods, youth programs, and joint use of schools.

Ensuring that funding and support for technology are present for workforce development efforts, individual learning, entrepreneurial/business centers, and lifelong learning.

Supporting the enactment of policies and funding opportunities to assist agencies in meeting sustainability objectives including energy and water efficiencies, non-motorized trail development and connectivity, and carbon sequestration through natural environment management, protection and enhancement.

6. Employment and Labor Relations

Oppose legislation that limits local control of hiring practices or the ability to determine the terms and conditions of employment.

Support legislation that creates a fair and balanced workers compensation system for both employers and employees with an emphasis on cost containment and returning employees to work as soon as possible; oppose legislation that puts either the employer or employee at a disadvantage or increases the cost of workers compensation insurance in the State.

7. Delta Protection and Enhancement

Advocate for the state to take full responsibility and carry out the necessary actions for removal of invasive species that negatively impact the Delta, in particular the annual infestation of water in local waterways.

Support legislation providing funding and other means for delta stewardship.

Support legislation or administrative policies that protect the interests of the City related to flood control including clarification of the definition of infill development, exclusion of interior drainage issues from 200 year flood control requirements and the ability to issue discretionary permits for appropriate low impact projects without burdensome review requirements.

Oppose legislation or governance that attempts to supersede adopted planning documents or projects that have already been approved through the appropriate processes.

Oppose the construction of the BDCP tunnels or other isolated water conveyance facility in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.

Support efforts to clarify Water Quality Control Standards, including establishing reasonable criterion associated with permit issuance (i.e. public outreach metrics)

Support legislation protecting existing water supply.

Support administrative actions that protect and maintain waterways within the Delta.

Support legislation that provides a mechanism to fund the construction, operations, maintenance, and administration of stormwater programs and projects that improve quality, minimize runoff, maximize infiltration and beneficial uses, maximize flooding protection, and minimizes negative environmental impacts, and exempt fees and charges supporting these programs and projects from Proposition 218 restrictions. Oppose legislation or regulation that imposes excessive costs on local governments to achieve stormwater and other Clean Water Act objectives.

Support legislation exempting storm water utilities from the balloting process required under proposition 218.

Support efforts that clarify the definition of the Primary Zone for the Delta as related to waterways. Advocate for the position that geographic sections of waterways can have distinct zone designations, specifically that portions of the San Joaquin River located in the Secondary Zone can maintain the classification of Secondary Zone. Currently the discharge area of the San Joaquin River for the Regional Wastewater Control Facility (RWCF) is located in the Secondary Zone, but by interpretation the State of California designates the entire San Joaquin River as the Primary Zone because portions of the river are located in the Primary Zone.

Oppose changes to the Bay Delta Conservation Plan that would alter operations of the Delta Cross Channel in a way that would negatively affect water quality at the Delta Water Supply Project (DWSP) intake or at the discharge location for the RWCF.

Oppose additional mandated storm water pollution prevention measures that are not accompanied by funding or a mechanism to provide for funding.

Support legislation creating sustainable funding sources to assist local governments with obligations under federal storm water regulations.